
# SCOTT'S BRANCH INTERMEDIATE 1154 Fourth Street Summerton, South Carolina 29148 4-7 Middle School GRADES ENROLLMENT 395 Students Dr. Nathaniel Nelson 803-485-2043 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Clarence E. Willie 803-485-2325 BOARD CHAIR John D. Bonaparte 803-478-8711 THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2003 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: BELOW AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Middle Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory U 0 5 IMPROVEMENT RATING: UNSATISFACTORY ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: NO This school met 8 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

#### PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Below Average	Excellent	N/A
2003 2004	Below Average	Unsatisfactory	No

## PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS




Mathematics English/Language Arts

Mathematics

English/Language Arts

### **Definition of Critical Terms**



Below Basic Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

#### EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	19	25	50
Percent satisfied with learning environment	55.6%	72.0%	70.0%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	66.7%	68.0%	66.7%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	31.6%	68.0%	75.0%

PACT PERFORMANCE		/,					/ .	/\
	,	DUP	lested ologic	olicov si	/	Proficient of	Advanced on Profi	dientand Advance
	/11	Jell Leer	rester /	ONPL	Basic of	orofic	NON SELECTION OF S	ciernauco
	EMO.	bayon ole	1,000	9/0	01/	0/0	0/0/6/10	Advance
			Ξī	nglish/Lar	nguage A	/		
All students	405	100.0	53.3	40.4	6.0	0.3	6.3	17.6
<b>Gender</b> Male	040	100.0	F7 6	25.4	6.0	0.5	7.0	17 G
iviale Female	216	100.0	57.6	35.1	6.8	0.5	7.3	17.6
	189	100.0	48.6	46.2	5.2	N/A	5.2	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group White	11	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
African-American	388	100.0	53.5	40.4	5.8	0.3	6.1	17.6
Airican-American Asian/Pacific Islander		100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
	1							
Hispanic American Indian/Alaskan	5	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status Not disabled	0.10	400.0	47.0	44.5	7.4	0.0	7.7	47.0
	340	100.0	47.8	44.5	7.4	0.3	7.7	17.6
Disabled	65	100.0	78.5	21.5	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Migrant Status		0.0	N1/A	N1/A	N1/A	N1/A	N1/A	47.0
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	405	100.0	53.3	40.4	6.0	0.3	6.3	17.6
English Proficiency	NI/A	0.0	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	47.0
Limited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	405	100.0	53.3	40.4	6.0	0.3	6.3	17.6
Socio-Economic Status	000	400.0	54.4	40.5	4.0	0.0	F.4	47.0
Subsidized meals	389	100.0	54.4	40.5	4.8	0.3	5.1	17.6
Full-pay meals	12	100.0	18.2	36.4	45.5	N/A	45.5	17.6
				Mathe	matics			
All students	405	99.5	50.5	44.2	4.7	0.5	5.2	15.5
Gender								
Male	216	100.0	52.4	42.9	3.7	1.0	4.7	15.5
Female	189	98.9	48.6	45.7	5.8	N/A	5.8	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	11	90.9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
African-American	388	99.7	51.0	44.0	4.5	0.6	5.0	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	5	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status	14//	0.0	1,7,7	.,,,	.,,,	-,,,,	1,7,1	
Not disabled	340	99.4	46.8	46.8	5.7	0.7	6.4	15.5
Disabled	65	100.0	67.7	32.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	405	99.5	50.5	44.2	4.7	0.5	5.2	15.5
English Proficiency			22.3					15.0
Limited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	405	99.5	50.5	44.2	4.7	0.5	5.2	15.5
Socio-Economic Status	700	50.0	50.0	17.4	7.7	0.0	J.L	10.0

18.2

100.0

Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

43.9

54.5

18.2

27.3

15.5

9.1

## PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		Enroll	84 Of 1 0/0	183 010 86	40,	Ba 0/0	6/2	AC 0/0 Profi
		/ • •	7		n/Langua	ge Arts		/ 44
$\Delta$	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	102	N/A	38.6	51.5	9.9	N/A	9.9
2002	Grade 5	87	N/A	47.1	48.3	4.6	N/A	4.6
2	Grade 6	111	N/A	44.5	42.7	10.9	1.8	12.7
	Grade 7	82	N/A	41.8	49.4	8.9	N/A	8.9
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	88	100.0	60.0	34.1	5.9	N/A	5.9
8	Grade 5	101	100.0	52.2	44.6	3.3	N/A	3.3
2003	Grade 6	107	100.0	51.1	37.0	10.9	1.1	12.0
	Grade 7	109	100.0	50.5	45.3	4.2	N/A	4.2
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

	Mathematics										
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	Grade 4	102	N/A	49.0	43.1	7.8	N/A	7.8			
2002	Grade 5	87	N/A	56.3	33.3	8.0	2.3	10.3			
20	Grade 6	111	N/A	48.2	49.1	2.7	N/A	2.7			
	Grade 7	82	N/A	57.5	36.3	5.0	1.3	6.3			
•	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	Grade 4	88	100.0	48.2	49.4	2.4	N/A	2.4			
2003	Grade 5	101	100.0	44.6	52.2	3.3	N/A	3.3			
20	Grade 6	107	100.0	44.6	44.6	8.7	2.2	10.9			
	Grade 7	109	98.2	64.2	31.6	4.2	N/A	4.2			
	Grade 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			

## SCHOOL PROFILE

c	Our School Change from Last Year		Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 395)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	0.0%	No change	8.2%	14.4%
Retention rate	0.2%	Down from 5.2%	1.6%	2.3%
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	91.6%	Down from 95.7%	94.2%	95.2%
	10.9%	Up from 8.9%	4.0%	13.6%
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	11.9%	Up from 5.0%	15.1%	14.1%
	6.8%	Up from 4.8%	9.8%	4.9%
Suspended or expelled	1.8%	Up from 0.8%	2.4%	1.3%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 26)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	46.2%	Up from 37.9%	38.5%	47.1%
	84.6%	Up from 79.3%	64.3%	82.5%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year	91.4%	Down from 91.5%	66.1%	84.3%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	93.8%	Up from 90.6%	95.2%	95.0%
	\$36,397	Up 0.8%	\$38,113	\$39,924
Prof. development days/teacher	11.2 days	Up from 5.0 days	13.1 days	10.7 days
School				
Principal's years at school	6.0	Up from 5.0	2.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	14.3 to 1	Up from 9.8 to 1	17.4 to 1	21.0 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	83.5%	Down from 84.7%	87.3%	88.9%
	\$5,766	Up 3.0%	\$7,546	\$5,854
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	63.0%	Down from 63.4%	60.2%	62.0%
	Poor	Down from Good	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	71.0%	Up from 53.1%	76.4%	94.8%
	no	N/A	no	yes

<sup>\*</sup> Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

A la la constant a 41 a const	C B4' '	D - 4 -
Abbreviations	tor Wissind	ı Data

					Ū			
N/A	Not Applicable	N/C	Not Collected	N/R	Not Reported	I/S	Insufficient Sample	

#### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Scott's Branch Intermediate School made definite strides in improving school performance this year. Scott's Branch was named a Gold Award winner for improvements on PACT. However, math and reading/language arts are still targeted areas for improvement. These weaknesses are addressed through reassignment of faculty and staff to provide the greatest expertise and additional help in these areas. Academic Plans are also written for every student who scores below basic, and parents are informed and encouraged to be actively involved in these improvement plans.

These needs are also addressed in the school's tutorial program, both during the regular school year and in the summer. We are fortunate to have two teacher specialists on-site providing assistance in mathematics, science, and language arts. They will continue to assist our teachers this year with curriculum gaps and alignment of the curriculum to the South Carolina Standards.

Some of the latest technological equipment and supplies for the science lab and mathematics classes were provided this year through a special grant. "Popstar," our school-wide discipline program, focuses on character building and reducing class interruptions. We are committed to consistency in implementation of this program. We are proud of our staff and students who were involved in both school and community activities:

The Junior Beta Club participated in many community service projects.

The Tap Dancing Eagles performed at nursing homes, parades, and civic activities. Our business partner, Progress Energy, assisted the school with academic incentive awards, instructional materials, the Larson Math Program, and the Accelerated Reading Program.

Our choral, band, gifted, and athletic programs offered students a variety of extracurricular activities.

Our faculty and staff participated in the American Red Cross Blood Drive.

Our students and staff have worked hard to achieve our goals for improved academic performance. We look forward to greater success this year.

John Haynesworth, Principal

#### DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

#### DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.